

(10) **Patent No.:** US 9,055,098 B2
(45) **Date of Patent:** *Jun. 9, 2015

- (56)
- References Cited**

- U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------|----------------|
| 5,440,690 | A | 8/1995 | Rege et al. |
| 5,452,442 | A | 9/1995 | Kephart |
| 5,502,833 | A | 3/1996 | Byrn et al. |
| 5,511,163 | A | 4/1996 | Lerche et al. |
| 5,623,600 | A | 4/1997 | Ji et al. |
| 5,717,855 | A | 2/1998 | Norman et al. |
| 5,799,064 | A | 8/1998 | Sridhar et al. |
| 5,802,277 | A | 9/1998 | Cowlard |
| 5,884,025 | A | 3/1999 | Baehr et al. |
| 5,896,499 | A | 4/1999 | McKelvey |

- (Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- | | | |
|----|-------------|---------|
| JP | 11205388 | 7/1999 |
| WO | WO 98/45778 | 10/1998 |
| WO | WO 01/30036 | 4/2001 |

- (22) Filed: **Sep. 12, 2007**

- (65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0246620 A1 Sep. 19, 2013

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 10/028,650, filed on Dec. 20, 2001, now Pat. No. 7,761,605.

Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jan. 22, 2009.

- (51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 15/173 (2006.01)

H04L 29/06 (2006.01)

G06F 21/56 (2013.01)

G06F 21/85 (2013.01)

- (52) U.S. Cl.

CPC **H04L 63/145** (2013.01); **G06F 21/566**
(2013.01); **G06F 21/85** (2013.01); **G06F**
2221/2101 (2013.01); **H04L 63/1416** (2013.01)

- (58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC H04L 29/08072; H04L 29/06; H04L 43/00


USPC 709/250, 224; 726/22–24; 370/463;
375/219, 222

See application file for complete search history.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A network adapter system and associated method are provided. The network adapter system includes a processor positioned on a network adapter coupled between a computer and a network. Such processor is configured for scanning network traffic transmitted between the computer and the network.

20 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,915,008	A	6/1999	Dulman	
5,968,176	A	10/1999	Nessett et al.	
5,987,610	A	11/1999	Franczek et al.	
6,006,329	A	12/1999	Chi	713/200
6,009,520	A	12/1999	Gharda	
6,073,142	A	6/2000	Geiger et al.	
6,075,863	A *	6/2000	Krishnan et al.	713/191
6,112,252	A	8/2000	Hausman et al.	
6,119,165	A	9/2000	Li et al.	
6,161,130	A	12/2000	Horvitz et al.	
6,182,226	B1	1/2001	Reid et al.	
H1944	H	2/2001	Cheswick et al.	
6,219,706	B1	4/2001	Fan et al.	
6,279,113	B1	8/2001	Vaidya	
6,298,444	B1 *	10/2001	Foss et al.	726/22
6,401,210	B1 *	6/2002	Templeton	726/24
6,453,419	B1	9/2002	Flint et al.	
6,460,050	B1	10/2002	Pace et al.	
6,463,474	B1	10/2002	Fuh et al.	
6,513,122	B1	1/2003	Magdych et al.	
6,631,466	B1	10/2003	Chopra et al.	
6,631,484	B1	10/2003	Born	
6,658,562	B1 *	12/2003	Bonomo et al.	713/1
6,661,803	B1	12/2003	Choi et al.	
6,683,869	B1	1/2004	Pierson et al.	
6,721,424	B1	4/2004	Radatti	
6,772,347	B1	8/2004	Xie et al.	
6,788,315	B1	9/2004	Kekic et al.	
6,826,694	B1	11/2004	Dutta et al.	
6,854,020	B1	2/2005	Kamihara et al.	
6,910,134	B1 *	6/2005	Maher et al.	726/24
6,925,572	B1	8/2005	Amit et al.	
6,981,265	B1	12/2005	Rees et al.	
7,023,861	B2 *	4/2006	Makinson et al.	370/401
7,107,617	B2	9/2006	Hursey et al.	
7,143,438	B1	11/2006	Coss et al.	
7,151,744	B2	12/2006	Sarkinen et al.	
7,188,367	B1	3/2007	Edwards et al.	
7,506,155	B1	3/2009	Stewart et al.	
7,761,605	B1	7/2010	Rothwell et al.	
8,185,943	B1	5/2012	Jagger et al.	
8,627,443	B2	1/2014	Jagger et al.	
2001/0039624	A1	11/2001	Kellum	
2002/0004840	A1	1/2002	Harumoto et al.	
2002/0016826	A1	2/2002	Johansson et al.	
2002/0032871	A1	3/2002	Malan et al.	
2002/0041689	A1	4/2002	Morimoto	
2002/0078190	A1	6/2002	Ullmann	
2002/0078377	A1	6/2002	Chang et al.	
2002/0083331	A1	6/2002	Krumel	
2002/0095512	A1	7/2002	Rana et al.	
2002/0162026	A1	10/2002	Neuman et al.	
2002/0198800	A1	12/2002	Shamrakov	
2003/0018890	A1	1/2003	Hale et al.	
2003/0041266	A1	2/2003	Ke et al.	
2003/0051142	A1	3/2003	Hidalgo et al.	
2003/0051155	A1	3/2003	Martin	
2003/0069973	A1	4/2003	Ganesan et al.	
2003/0097585	A1	5/2003	Girard	
2003/0101338	A1	5/2003	Mullen et al.	
2003/0167410	A1	9/2003	Rigstad et al.	
2004/0003284	A1	1/2004	Campbell et al.	
2005/0259678	A1	11/2005	Gaur	
2012/0192262	A1	7/2012	Jagger et al.	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

VirusScan for Windows 95. User's. Guide. McAfee. 1997. pp. 26,47.
Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jul. 29, 2009.
Final Office Action from U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, dated Aug. 12, 2010.
Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Apr. 6, 2010.

3Com. 3Com Embedded Firewall Architecture for E-Business. Technical Brief 100969-001. 3Com Corporation, Apr. 2001.
3Com. Embedded Firewall for the 3Cr990 NICs Family. Software Solutions 600478-001. 3Com Corporation, Aug. 2001.
Edwards, Mark. "Embedded Firewalls: The Next Wave?." WindowsIT Pro Apr. 18, 2001. Jan. 31, 2005 <<http://www.windowsitpro.com/Articles/Print.cfm?ArticleID=20703>>.
BIGfire User Manual, 1999, p. 14.
Answers.com, Definition: Solid State, p. 1, Nov. 2, 2006.
"How Firewalls Work," Nov. 10, 2000; <<http://web.archive.org/>>; <<http://howstuffworks.com/firewall.htm>>.
"ConSeal PC FIREWALL Technical Information," May 2, 1999; <<http://www.webarchive.org/web/19990502202255/signal9.com/technical/prodsummary/fwsummary.html>> (pp. 1-2).
Boran, Sean, "Personal Firewalls Tests: ConSeal PC Firewall," Oct. 10, 2001 (pp. 1-7).
Ganger et al., "Enabling Dynamic Security Management of Networked Systems via Device-Embedded Security," Dec. 2000, pp. 1-15.
Payne, et al. "Architecture and Applications for a Distributed Embedded Firewall," 17th Annual ACSAC Computer Security Applications Conference, Dec. 10-14, 2001; pp. 1-10.
Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Feb. 10, 2005.
Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Feb. 10, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Mar. 30, 2005.
Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jun. 30, 2005.
Response to Final Office Action dated Jun. 30, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Aug. 3, 2005.
Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Sep. 7, 2005.
Response to Final Office Action dated Sep. 7, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Oct. 13, 2005.
Advisory Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Nov. 16, 2005.
Notice of Appeal in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Feb. 3, 2006.
Appeal Brief in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Apr. 3, 2006.
Appeal Brief in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Aug. 7, 2006.
Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Nov. 2, 2006.
Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Nov. 2, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Feb. 2, 2007.
Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Apr. 9, 2007.
Response to Final Office Action dated Apr. 9, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Jun. 11, 2007.
Advisory Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jun. 25, 2007.
Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Jul. 19, 2007.
Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Oct. 16, 2007.
Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Oct. 16, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Jan. 16, 2008.
Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Mar. 25, 2008.
Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed May 27, 2008.
Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jul. 28, 2008.
Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Jul. 28, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Oct. 28, 2008.
Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Jan. 22, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed May 22, 2009.
Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Jan. 22, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Nov. 30, 2009.
Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jan. 28, 2010.
312 Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650, filed Mar. 30, 2010.
Response to 312 Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,650 mailed on Jun. 1, 2010.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Sep. 20, 2005.

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Sep. 20, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Dec. 12, 2005.

Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Jan. 12, 2006.

Response to Final Office Action dated Jan. 12, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Mar. 13, 2006.

Advisory Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Mar. 23, 2006.

Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Apr. 12, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on May 26, 2006.

Pre-Brief Conference Request and Notice of Appeal in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Oct. 25, 2006.

Pre-Brief Conference Decision in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Dec. 15, 2006.

Appeal Brief in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Jan. 18, 2007.

Examiner's Answer to Appeal Brief in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on May 25, 2007.

Reply Brief filed in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Jul. 24, 2007.

BAPI Decision—Examiner Affirmed in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Apr. 1, 2009.

Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Jun. 1, 2009.

Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Jun. 30, 2009.

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Jun. 30, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Sep. 30, 2009.

Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Dec. 18, 2009.

Response to Final Office Action dated Dec. 18, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Feb. 18, 2010.

Advisory Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Feb. 26, 2010.

Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Mar. 3, 2010.

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 6, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Jul. 6, 2010.

Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Feb. 14, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Apr. 19, 2011.

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 19, 2011 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Jul. 19, 2011.

Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Sep. 9, 2011.

Request for Continued Examination and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652, filed Nov. 9, 2011.

Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 10/028,652 mailed on Mar. 20, 2012.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/434,639, filed Mar. 29, 2012.

Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/434,639 mailed on Feb. 5, 2013.

Response to Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/434,639, filed May 6, 2013.

Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/434,639 mailed on Jun. 3, 2013.

Response to Final Office Action (AFCP) in U.S. Appl. No. 13/434,639, filed Sep. 3, 2013.

Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 13/434,639 mailed on Sep. 12, 2013.

* cited by examiner





Fig. 1

**Fig. 2**




Fig. 3





Fig. 4

**Fig. 5**

1

EMBEDDED ANTI-VIRUS SCANNER FOR A NETWORK ADAPTER

RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a continuation of application Ser. No. 10/028,650 filed on Dec. 20, 2001 now U.S. Pat. No. 7,761,605, which is incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to network adapters, and more particularly to interfacing with computers.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In computer networks, a host computer system is normally connected to the network by a network adapter. In some designs, the network adapter is a board that plugs into the backplane bus of the host computer system. In other designs, the network adapter is built into the CPU motherboard. The host computer system typically includes a device driver which operates the network adapter.

Computer networks transfer data from one network node to another in the form of packets. For the purposes here, packets may include information for all layers of the ISO/OSI model at and above the data link layer. The network adapter transmits packets from the host computer system onto the network, and delivers packets from the network to the host computer system.

During operation, the host computer system produces two types of host memory buffers that are consumed by the network adapter: (1) transmit buffers containing packets to be transmitted onto the network, and (2) receive buffers to hold packets received from the network. The host computer system notifies the network adapter when either type of host memory buffer is produced. Similarly, the network adapter notifies the host computer system when it finishes consuming either type of buffer.

For example, to transmit a packet onto the network, the host computer system produces a transmit buffer by allocating a host memory buffer from a free pool of memory buffers, and writing the packet to the host memory buffer. The host computer system then notifies the network adapter that the transmit buffer has been produced (the packet is ready for transmission). The network adapter consumes the transmit buffer by transmitting the packet onto the network. The network adapter then notifies the host computer system that the buffer has been consumed (transmission has completed).

To receive a packet from the network, the host computer system first produces a receive buffer by allocating a host memory buffer into which a packet from the network may be received. The host computer system then notifies the network adapter that the receive buffer has been produced. When the network adapter subsequently receives a packet from the network to be stored in host memory, it consumes the receive buffer by writing the packet to it. The network adapter then notifies the host that the receive buffer has been consumed (the packet has been received).

When the host computer system is notified that a host memory buffer has been consumed (either a transmit buffer or a receive buffer), it completes the processing of that host memory buffer. The host computer system completes processing a consumed transmit buffer by returning the transmit buffer to the free pool of host memory buffers. The host computer system completes processing a consumed receive memory buffer by delivering the received packet to the appro-

2

priate user process, and then returning the receive buffer to the free pool of host memory buffers.

The host computer system typically notifies the network adapter after each host memory buffer has been produced by writing a register on the network adapter. The network adapter typically notifies the host computer system after each host memory buffer has been consumed by sending an interrupt to the host processor in the host computer system.

To date, there has been no meaningful extension of the capabilities of network adapters to accomplish other tasks such as contributing to network security. Conventionally, the network adapter is often the ingress point for many untrusted files and data, which may proliferate a virus on the associated computer. Unfortunately, such ingress point fails to provide any security features to prevent an attack on the computer.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

A network adapter system and associated method are provided. The network adapter system includes a processor positioned on a network adapter coupled between a computer and a network. Such processor is configured for scanning network traffic transmitted between the computer and the network.

In one embodiment, the processor is capable of being user-configured. Further, the processor is capable of being user-configured locally and/or remotely via a network connection with the network adapter. Still yet, the processor is capable of being user-configured only after the verification of a password.

In another embodiment, the manner in which the scanning is performed is capable of being user-configured. Further, the settings of the network adapter are capable of being user-configured.

In still another embodiment, the processor is capable of determining whether received packets are of interest. Such determination as to which received packets are of interest may be based on a protocol associated with the packets.

In use, the processor is capable of passing received packets that are not of interest to the computer. Further, processor is capable of scanning received packets that are of interest. The processor is then further capable of denying received packets that fail the scan.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates a network architecture, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 2 shows a representative hardware environment that may be associated with the data server computers and user computers of FIG. 1, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary network adapter that may be coupled between a computer and a network like those shown in FIGS. 1 and 2.

FIG. 4 illustrates a method for scanning incoming data utilizing a network adapter.

FIG. 5 illustrates a method for configuring a network adapter scanner, in accordance with one embodiment.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FIG. 1 illustrates a network architecture **100**, in accordance with the one embodiment. As shown, a plurality of networks **102** is provided. In the context of the present network architecture **100**, the networks **102** may each take any form including, but not limited to a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN) such as the Internet, etc.

Coupled to the networks **102** are data server computers **104** which are capable of communicating over the networks **102**. Also coupled to the networks **102** and the data server computers **104** is a plurality of end user computers **106**. In the context of the present description, a computer may refer to any web server, desktop computer, lap-top computer, hand-held computer, printer or any other type of hardware/software.

In order to facilitate communication among the networks **102**, at least one gateway **108** is coupled therebetween. It should be noted that each of the foregoing network devices as well as any other unillustrated devices may be interconnected by way of a plurality of network segments. In the context of the present description, a network segment includes any portion of any particular network capable of connecting different portions and/or components of a network.

FIG. 2 shows a representative hardware environment that may be associated with the data server computers **104** and/or end user computers **106** of FIG. 1, in accordance with one embodiment. Such figure illustrates a typical hardware configuration of a workstation in accordance with a preferred embodiment having a central processing unit **210**, such as a microprocessor, and a number of other units interconnected via a system bus **212**.

The workstation shown in FIG. 2 includes a Random Access Memory (RAM) **214**, Read Only Memory (ROM) **216**, an I/O adapter **218** for connecting peripheral devices such as disk storage units **220** to the bus **212**, a user interface adapter **222** for connecting a keyboard **224**, a mouse **226**, a speaker **228**, a microphone **232**, and/or other user interface devices such as a touch screen (not shown) to the bus **212**, communication adapter **234** for connecting the workstation to a communication network **235** (e.g., a data processing network) and a display adapter **236** for connecting the bus **212** to a display device **238**.

The workstation may have resident thereon an operating system such as the Microsoft Windows NT or Windows/95 Operating System (OS), the IBM OS/2 operating system, the MAC OS, or UNIX operating system. It will be appreciated that a preferred embodiment may also be implemented on platforms and operating systems other than those mentioned. A preferred embodiment may be written using JAVA, C, and/or C++ language, or other programming languages, along with an object oriented programming methodology. Object oriented programming (OOP) has become increasingly used to develop complex applications.

FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary network adapter **300** that may be coupled between a computer and a network like those shown in FIGS. 1 and 2. Of course, such network adapter **300** may be coupled between any computer and any network in any desired context.

It should be noted that the network adapter **300** may include any Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) card, Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) card, Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) adapter, cable modem adapter, broadband adapter, or any other type of adapter capable of being installed on any sort of housing associated with a desktop, laptop or any other type of computer. Of course, the network adapter **300** may comprise any sort of interface between the network and the computer.

As shown in FIG. 3, the network adapter **300** includes a processor **302** in communication with a standard adapter circuit **304**. The processor **302** is further coupled to the computer, while the standard adapter circuit **304** is coupled to the network. It should be noted that this configuration may vary per the desires of the user. For example, the standard adapter

circuit **304** may be coupled to the computer, while the processor **302** is coupled to the network.

Such standard adapter circuit **304** may include various voltage regulating circuits, a bus, light emitting diode connections, and/or any other conventional circuitry commonly implemented in a network adapter **300**. The processor **302** of the network adapter **300** may include a single semiconductor platform or multiple interconnected semiconductor platforms with associated logic to accomplish the functionality set forth herein.

The processor **302** of the network adapter **300** may also include a packet assembler module **305** coupled to the standard adapter circuit **304** for assembling packets received from the network and packetizing information received from the computer. It should be noted that the processor **302** of the network adapter **300** is in communication with an operating system network driver **306** associated with the computer for receiving outbound data therefrom and further conditionally sending inbound data thereto, in a manner that will be set forth in greater detail during reference to FIG. 4.

Also included is adapter random access memory (RAM) **308** coupled to the packet assembler module **305** for storing packets received therefrom. It should be noted that the memory **308** may include any cache or fast memory capable of allowing quick storage and/or retrieval of data.

Still yet, the processor **302** of the network adapter **300** includes a scanner **310**. Such scanner **310** includes anti-virus scanning capabilities. Such scanner **310** may be adapted for scanning for known types of security events in the form of malicious programs such as viruses, worms, and Trojan horses. Still yet, the scanner **310** may be adapted for content scanning to enforce an organization's operational policies [i.e. detecting harassing or pornographic content, junk e-mails, misinformation (virus hoaxes), etc.]. Of course, the scanner **310** may take any other sort of security measures.

Optionally, various virus signature files and other related control information associated with the scanner **310** may be stored on a non-volatile solid state memory (i.e. FLASH RAM). This may be user protected by configuring the network adapter **300** BIOS with a password that only a user can change, as will soon become apparent.

As an option, a control module **311** may be used to control the overall operation of the network adapter **300**. It should be noted, however, that the overall operation may be controlled in any desired manner. For example, the processor **302** may be controlled at least in part by way of the computer or a remote administrator communicating via the network.

A user interface driver **312** is coupled to the scanner **310** for facilitating the configuration of the scanner **310** and various other aspects of the network adapter **300**. More information on such configurability will be set forth in greater detail during reference to FIG. 5.

FIG. 4 illustrates a method **400** for scanning network traffic (i.e. communications, data, etc.) utilizing a network adapter. In one embodiment, the present method **400** may be used in the context of a network adapter like that mentioned hereinabove during reference the previous figure. Of course, the present techniques may be utilized in any desired context.

Initially, packets are received in operation **402** from the network. As mentioned earlier, this may be accomplished directly or through a standard adapter circuit **304**, or by any other conduit. Thereafter, the packets are assembled in operation **404**. This may be accomplished in any feasible method. For example, the packet assembler module **305** may utilize header information associated with the packets for assembling the data fields of the packets.

5

As each packet arrives, it is determined whether the packets are of interest. Note decision **406**. Such determination may be based on any desired factor such as a source of the packet, a protocol associated with the packet, a timing of the packet, contents of the packet, and/or any other desired factor. In any embodiment where certain protocols are of interest, a pre-

TABLE #1

HTTP file requests
FTP file transfers
Novell NetWare file transfers
Windows Files transfers

If the packets are not of interest, as decided in decision **406**, the packets are bypassed directly to the computer. See operation **407**. This may be accomplished by bypassing the scanner **310** and RAM **308**, and communicating directly with the network driver **306** of the computer. By this design, the packets that are not to be scanned are communicated with the computer as fast as possible.

If, on the other hand, the packets are of interest, as decided in decision **406**, the packets are cached in operation **408**. For example, they may be stored in the memory **308**. This process continues until it is determined that a file or any other desired unit of data is complete in decision **410**. If the file is not complete, the process continues until enough packets of interest are received so as to complete a file.

Once a file is complete, as determined in decision **410**, it is then determined whether the file is of interest in decision **410**. For example, it may be determined that only certain files (i.e. executables, etc.) are of interest. It should be noted that such determination may not be able to be made at the packet level decision **406**. Again, if the file is not of interest, as decided in decision **412**, the packets are bypassed directly to the computer. See operation **414**. This may be again accomplished by bypassing the scanner **310** and the memory **308**, and communicating directly with the network driver **306** of the computer. By this design, files that are not to be scanned are communicated with the computer as fast as possible.

If, however, the file is of interest, a scan is performed, as set forth in operation **418**. In one embodiment, the scan is performed by the scanner **310** on the hardware processor **302** positioned on the network adapter **300**. If it is determined that the file is clean in decision **420**, the file is transferred to the computer (i.e. network driver **306**). If, however, any virus, suspicious content, malicious code, etc. is found in decision **420**, access may be denied to the computer in operation **424**. This way, no contaminated packets and/or files reach the computer.

Further, an alert may be displayed for notifying a user of the denial of access and contaminated file/packets. As an option, such alert may also provide options as to remedies for the situation (i.e. clean, delete, quarantine, etc.). Such alert may be conveyed in any desired manner. For example, the alert may be provided to a remote administrator, using an indicator on the network adapter, and/or an interface on the computer. To accomplish this, such alert may be sent to the user interface driver **312**.

It should be noted that the foregoing process may also be applied to outgoing packets. This feature may be considered as an option that may be configured in a manner that will soon be set forth.

6

To this end, the scanning is accelerated through use of the hardware processor for scanning purposes. Further, by the critical positioning of the hardware processor on the network adapter, protection is inherently provided whenever network access is gained.

FIG. **5** illustrates a method **500** for configuring a network adapter scanner, in accordance with one embodiment. Again, the present method **500** may be used in the context of a network adapter and associated method like that mentioned hereinabove during reference to the previous figures. Of course, the present techniques may be utilized in any desired context.

Initially, a computer user or remote administrator may be prompted for a password in operation **502**. In the case of the user of the computer being prompted, this may be accomplished utilizing the user interface driver **312** of FIG. **3**. On the other hand, in the case of the remote administrator, the password request may be prompted using TCP/IP or any other desired network protocol. In the case of TCP/IP, the network adapter **300** may be assigned a dedicated IP address or MAC address for identification purposes.

If the password is received and verified, it is then determined whether the user or remote administrator wishes to update the virus signatures associated with the scanner **310** of the processor **302** (note decision **508**) or configure the network adapter settings (note decision **512**).

If an update is to be performed per decision **508**, the virus signatures on the network adapter **300** may be updated in operation **510**. It should be noted that the software administering the update may be positioned off the network adapter **300** on the computer or at a remote administrator site.

If the configuration settings are to be changed per decision **512**, a user may alter various network adapter **300** settings in operation **514**. These settings may range from conventional settings to determining which packets and files are of interest in the context of the method **400** of FIG. **4**. Just by way of example, the user may configure the packet filtering to enable/disable packet assembling and scanning of HTTP file requests. Further, various other heuristics, well known virus scan settings, or the like may also be configured.

As an option, since the memory **308** may have a limited amount of capacity with which to store assembled files, the user may be able to set a threshold for the maximum size of file to be stored in memory, or possibly prioritize the scanning of files (i.e. executables-first, JPEG's-second, etc.). As a further option, direct memory access may be used to utilize desktop RAM.

While various embodiments have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example only, and not limitation. For example, any of the network elements may employ any of the desired functionality set forth hereinabove. Thus, the breadth and scope of a preferred embodiment should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents.

What is claimed is:

1. A network adapter system, comprising:

a processor positioned on a network adapter and configured to assemble received packets, to perform a scan on the received packets to identify a virus, a worm, or a Trojan horse, in response to a determination that the received packets use a hypertext transfer protocol or a file transfer protocol, and to bypass the scan on the received packets, in response to a determination that the received packets do not use the hypertext transfer protocol or the file transfer protocol.

7

2. The network adapter system as recited in claim 1, wherein the network adapter system is user-configured.

3. The network adapter system as recited in claim 2, wherein the network adapter system is user-configured locally.

4. The network adapter system as recited in claim 2, wherein the network adapter system is user-configured remotely via a network connection with the network adapter.

5. The network adapter system as recited in claim 2, wherein the network adapter system is user-configured only after a verification of a password.

6. The network adapter system as recited in claim 2, wherein settings of the network adapter are user-configured.

7. The network adapter system as recited in claim 1, wherein the network adapter system directly passes the received packets that do not use the hypertext transfer protocol or the file transfer protocol to a computer.

8. The network adapter system as recited in claim 7, wherein the network adapter denies an access of the received packets that fail the scan to the computer.

9. The network adapter system as recited in claim 1, wherein the scan is performed based on user settings.

10. A method for scanning network traffic, the method comprising:

receiving packets at a network adapter including a processor positioned thereon;

assembling the received packets;

scanning the received packets utilizing the processor to identify a virus, a worm, or a Trojan horse, in response to determining that the received packets use a hypertext transfer protocol or a file transfer protocol; and

bypassing the scanning, in response to determining the received packets do not use the hypertext transfer protocol or the file transfer protocol.

11. The method as recited in claim 10, wherein the network adapter is user-configured.

8

12. The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the network adapter is user-configured locally.

13. The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the network adapter is user-configured remotely via a network connection with the network adapter.

14. The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the network adapter is user-configured only after a verification of a password.

15. A network adapter system, comprising:

means for assembling at least some received packets; and means for performing a scan on the received packets to identify a virus, a worm, or a Trojan horse, in response to a determination that the received packets use a hypertext transfer protocol or a file transfer protocol, and for bypassing the scan, in response to a determination that the received packets do not use the hypertext transfer protocol or the file transfer protocol.

16. The network adapter system as recited in claim 15, wherein the received packets bypass the scan, in response to a determination that the received packets complete a file.

17. The network adapter system as recited in claim 16, wherein the received packets bypass the scan, in response to a determination that the file is not an executable file.

18. The network adapter system as recited in claim 15, wherein the received packets are denied access to a computer in response to a determination that the scan has identified the virus, the worm, or the Trojan horse in the received packets.

19. The network adapter system as recited in claim 15, wherein the means for performing determines whether a file is an executable file, in response to a determination that the received packets complete the file.

20. The network adapter system as recited in claim 19, wherein the means for performing scans the file in response to a determination that the file is the executable file.

* * * * *